RAJASTHAN UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

DATE LABEL

Call No.,

Acen. No.

Date of Release for loan

This book should be returned to the library on or before the date last stamped below, failing which fine as per University Library Rules will be charged.

Printed at the LARSMI PRINTING WORKS. 570, Upper Chilpere Road, Calcutta.

PREFACE.

This Lecture, a portion of which was read before the Agra College Literary Society, was prepared solely for the benefit of the students reading the Vedanta Sutras and the Vedanta Sara with me in the Agra College. The main object of the Lecture is to give beginners a general outline of the highly abstruse system of the Vedanta Philosophy, and to examine briefly its value when tested by the modern ideas of Western Philosophy. The results of modern Science have also been adduced to show that the Verlant's Philosophy can be interprated agreeably with them.

For an dour noniers, not acquainted with the terms of Sanchrit Philosophy, the technicalities of the Velante system very effect at askin the way or its leing

understood properly. For this reason I have tried my best to avoid them, and where they were unavoidable, the technical expressions have been explained in simple English. The English rendering of the Sanskrit extracts quoted, will, it is hoped, enable readers to understand their application even if they are not acquainted with the Sanskrit language.

The object of the Lecture being to explain only the Philosophical phase of the Vedanta system, care has been taken to prevent it from clashing with any other religious system.

In presenting this Lecture before the Public, I beg openly to state that I have not ventured to introduce any new opinion on the subject of the Vedanta Philosophy, nor have I suppressed anything of importance with the object of projudicing the readers in favour of this cyclem of Philosophy.

I have moreover, quoted the ideas, and in many places the expressions, of different authors on the subject, where they appeared to me to throw light on any point. The details of the Vedanta system have been left untouched as being beyond the scope of this manual.

M. L. B.

Agra, Tebruory 15th. 1895.

Preface to the Second Edition.

All copies of the first edition being exhausted it has become necessary to issue a second edition of this book. Advantage is taken of this opportunity to include some important aspects of the Velanta Philosophy in the form of an appendix.

My best thanks are due to Principal S. C. Bhattacharya M. A. of Ambala for help in the preparation of the appendix.

M. L. Bhattacharya.

Calcutta, 10th October 1923.

A LECTURE

GS.

THE VEDANTA PHILOSOPHY.

MR. PRESIDENT AND GENTLEMEN,

Before beginning my Lecture, I beg to offer my thanks to the members of the Agra College Literary Society, for doing me the honour of permitting me to approach them on a subject which is, at present, an every-day topic with many learned oriental scholars and which is one of the dearest possessions of us—the unfortunate Hindus of India.

At the outset, gentlemen, I must inform you that our subject will be essentially a philosophical and not a religious one. It is neither desirable nor very pleasant to handle here the religious phase of our subject, and so I shall gladly have it to be judged by the individual choice and discretion of my educated audience,

Before dealing with any system of philosophy, it behaves us to explain briefly the necessity and utility of philosophy in general. Firstly, people, and often

learned people, say that the world is too ionsy to listen to purely theoretical speculations. In reply to this I may be allowed to remark, in the language of a great philosopher,"that philosophy is indispensable, inasmuch as, in not philosophising, we must philosophise." To make the idea clear I may say, that in the very act of giving our reasons against philosophy, we must have recourse to philosophy itself. Thus, roughly speaking philosophy means, explaining anything by applying our remoning faculty, and this we cannot help doing every day of our life. Such is Imman nature. Secondly, that philosophy is theoretical is not a reasonable objection. For we see that the theory of gravitation, the theory of heat, the theory of electricity, the theory of medicine, &c., are no more than theories and yet they are not despised as such. On the contrary, they are universally accepted and admired.

Thirdly, regarding the value and use of a system of philosophy, I must tell you, that it depends entirely upon the importance of the subject-matter. Some people talk of a philosophy of cooking. In reference to this, a learned author has said, that the Greeks brought philosophy down from Heaven and that some people drave degraded it by introducing it into their kitchens. Thus, it is clear that the philosophy of any thing will be as important as its subject matter is, It appears therefore, that the system of philosophy, which treats about supernatural things. must necessarily convey with it supernatural grandeur, and so possess an allabsorbing importance. So much about the necessity and utility of philosophy in general.

New, gentlemen, I should succeed that in reading, examining, and understanding a system of philosophy, which is exceed

dingly abstract, we must exercise a very great amount of caution. This caution lies in this that when we wish to understand a philosophical subject fully, we are to fix in our mind the clear and distinct ideas of the question stripped of words; and so likewise, in the course of reasoning to take up the author's ideas, neglecting his words and observing how far they are connected or separated from those in question. We should be ever watchful againstwhat reever might conceal or misrepresent the subject in hand, and we should throughout the course of discussion keepthe precise point steadily in our mind, and suffer not the least alteration in any way. This being true of all philosophy, isemphatically true of the Vedanta philosophy. We find, now-a-days, many publications of backs on this subject. Some of them deservedly excite our admiration; while others, our scorn and

disgust. The best advice for our youths, overwhelmed with such a host of Vedantic books, will be to read them with the proper caution spoken of before, and to deal with them according to their respective merits. Once for all, I must tell you that it is interest, bias, ignorance and want of proper impartiality, which are singly or jointly the fruitful causes of misrepresenting a subject with a view to expose or extol it. A fair criticism, as you know, is a rare gift with man.

Now we come to our subject. Gentlemen, I should tell you that the selection of your subject has been very opportune at this time, when much interest is felt for the subject owing to something like a revival of Hinduism all over India, to the occasional visit of learned oriental scholars of Europe, and to the admirable publications of such treatises as Professor MaxMuller's Lectures on Vedanta and

Professor Duessen's Metaphysics. Indeed we cannot do without Vedanta in our present state of transition. Thus, gentlemen, you are quite reasonable in selecting your subject. But, at the same time, I am sorry to inform you that you arenot very fortunate in selecting the person who is to deal with it. Gentlemen, as youknow, I do not pretend to be a specialist in any branch of Sanskrit learning, nor do I possess any very rich store of information about the grand subject of to-day, viz, the Vedanta, which, though expounded in many volumes by our greatest learned scholisst, the universally renowned Sankaracharya, and by various other scholars both here and abroad, remains to the understanding of the people at large, extremely obscure and abstruce. However, with all my poor resources, I must try my best to satisfy you, by acting according to your wishes and by trying to cluscidate as far as possible the abstract doctrines of the Vedanta. To do this, I believe, will not be a lost labour. By sodoing we shall be able to prepare our minds to receive fresh lights on this grand subject, which are every day emitted by the western luminaries of the present day.

THE VEDANTA.

The word Vedanta literally means the conclusion of the Vedas. In its most extended sense, it is the end or scope of the Vedas and so it may be taken in the sense of the final portion, or the final object of the Vedas. Veda, as is generally known, means, etymologically, only knowledge, but with us it has come to mean our Holy Scriptures. The word Vedanta is sometimes used as synonymous with the Upanishads. I should mention here that, what we call Sruti is divided into two

porce (it Sachitas, or metrical hymns, and (2) Brahmanas, or expositions of those hymns for ritualistic purposes. The Sutras ore aphorisms. The Aranyakas or Upanishads are treatises meant for the ascetics or dwellers in the forest, and are only appendiess to the Brahmanas, i.e., tho second division of Sruti. At present we read the Vedanta in two forms: (1) in its unsystematic form in the Upanishads, and (2) in its systematic form in the Vedanta Sutras of Vadarayan, alias Vyas, with the Saukarabhasya annexed to them, The Velants in these forms, unlike other systems of philosophy, is both a philosophy and a religion. This is the unique feature of this Indian Philosophy. When in other countries philosophy and religion are opposed to each other, and religious men and philosophers are constantly straining their powers, without success, to embrane one another as friends in their

professed opinions, we in India are happy to find that our philosophy is the natural outcome of our religion and that our religion is an amiable companion of our philosophy. This is as it ought to be. For, .as is known to you, the aim of religion, as well as of philosophy, is nothing but the knowledge of truth, and necessarily the one ought to be an agreeable colleague of the other, and not a formidable foe to destroy or damage the other. However it may sound in the ears of other people, our philosophy is the supporter of our religion, and we are proud of its being so. It is our business to-day to deal with the philosophical part of the Vedanta, which is styled the Adwaitavada, i.e., the theory of universal unity or abstract identity of the soul with Brahman. It is also called Uttaramimansa, i. c., the final inquiry or the inquiry of Brahman, the highest self, in contradistinction to Purvamimansa, i.e.,

preliminary inquiry, or the inquiry of religious formalism or merit arising from corrying out Vedic injunctions. Thus it. forms the part of Vedic Inaukanda, or spiritual knowledge, and is distinguished from its counterpart, the Karmakanda, i. e., the part dealing with Vedic ritualism. The Velonta is one of the six Darsanas, i. c., schools of philosophical thoughts known to the Hindus. It is the most important of them, on account of the vast erudition displayed by the different author- and commentators on this subject, on account of the depth of thought and sublimity of ideas we meet with here and there in Velantic works, and on account of its own merit. There are different sects of this Vedantic system. They arose out of the different ways in which different philosophers interpreted the Sutras of Vadarayana, which acquired an independent authority for themselves. Thus there - were (1) the Sudhadwaita or Kaivalyadwaita, or abstract monism of Sankaracharya (2) the Visistadwaita, or modified monism of Ramanuja and (3) the Visudhadwaita,or purified monism of Ballabha. Madhu also founded another system. Ramanuja, Ballabha and Madhu have also many followers. But principally the Vedanta is divided into two schools. namely that of Sankara, and that of Ramanuja. It is beyond our powers, owing to the absence of any historical records, to know exactly which of these two schools of Vedantism represented the more ancient or the more modern system of the Vedants, if there was any. But we know that men naturally cannot give an unanimous assent to all the particular points. of any system of philosophy, especially when it is highly abstract and complicated. This very probably, was the case with the Vedanta. Except in its essential principles,

teachers explained the Sutras and the Upanisheds on particular points in their own ways. Thus there arose two sects principally, side by side, each looking to the Sruti as its guide. It appears that Sintara and Ramanuja respectively represented the two opposed sects. The Rivgavatas whom Ramanuja represented were prior to Saukara, who also appealed to many very ancient teachers. Ramanuja come after Sankara. But there is no reason to suppose that either of them represented any system of the Vedanta, which was comparatively more ancient. It should be noted here that the extreme elasticity of the Velic language afforded ample room for both the systems to appeal to the Vedas as their authority.

But the system of Vedantism which is widely known to the world at present is the system of Sunkara, and this system was, once for all, developed in its full maturity in the hands of the celebrated scholiast, Sankara himself. This system represents the orthodox side of the Brahminic theology and, apart from theological and philosophical considerations, it is the most important and most interesting philosophy that has arisen in India.

The aim of this philosophy is to dispel that Avidya or Nescience, i. e., the phenomenal knowledge, which lies inherent in us as individual beings, and to replace it by Vidya, or true knowledge. About this Avidya or Nescience we shall speak afterwards.

A few more words as to the origin of the Vedanta. We have already said that the Vedanta is based on the Upanishads, or Aranyaka portions of the Brahmanas of the Vedas. Hence it is called Oupanishadi Vidya, or knowledge founded upon the Upanishads. In fact the two are sometimes identified. Now Upanishad erymologically means, according to Profersor Max-Muller, sitting near a person, i. c. cittings or catherings where the eld sages imparted spiritual knowledge to their pupils. This is, I believe, the most probable meming. However, there is another interpretation of it. According to this, it is derived from the root sad to descrive and it means that body of tenching, which destroys the Nescience or world-phenomena, and reveals the absolute. These Upanishads are written is, the form of dialogues, and they are two hundred and thirty-five in number, of which thirteen are very important. These are all founded, as has been said, on the Volum So, ultimately, we see that the origin of the Vedants is the Veda. But through we see that the Verlanta Philosanty is founded on the Vedic Upanishole, and though it is controlled by them in its method of inquiry, that is to say, though Adwaitism is a philosophical religion directly deduced from the Upanishads, and not from independent observation and analysis alone, as other systems of philosophy are, we are not to understand that it stands entirely severed rom reason, experience, observation and common sense. For it emphatically and clearly declares that Sruti or revelation, not supported by reason and not corrohorated by experience and common sense, is not valid, and is not conducive to right knowledge. So we see that the Vedanta Philosophy is a combination of reason and revelation.

Before we enter into the subject, it is our duty to explain the meanings of the three terms, on the understanding of which depends the understanding of this philosophy. These are (1) Paramurthika, or the true, (2) Vyavahirika, or the pracical, and (3) Pratibhasika, or the appa-

rent. The first Paramarthika means the really true. Such a state is called the Nirguna state, which is the most abstract state, and as such it is highly philosophical, containing metaphysical truth, and is therefore meant only for such persons who are thoughtful and learned. I need hardly tell you that Brahman, the highest self, is the only representative of this state. The Paramarthika knowledge, or knowledge per se. i. e. the most philosophical knowledge, which was only meant for the few, is by some scholars, called esoteric, in contradistinction to the theological knowledge meant for the many, which is termed exoteric. But, truly speaking, there was no esoteric Vedanta in the sense of its being shut up from the public at large. In fact it was open to all who were deserving students, irrespective of caste. The second state, i. e., the Vyavaharika, or the practical state, includes all phenomena, and thus it includes Iswara or creator, individual gouls and the whole world. So Vyavaharika knowledge only means phenomenal knowledge and not knowledge per se. The world including our body, is known to us only phenomenally. Hence the whole world represents the second state, that is, the Vyavaharika, or the conventional state. The third is Prativasika or the apparent state. This includes such phenomena as a mirage, or a serpent imagined in a rope. These are the results of some defect in us, in addition to our naturally limited powers of knowledge.

Before giving a full analysis of the Vedanta Philosophy in detail, I may be allowed to lay before you a brief outline of it, giving the principal divisions and departments of this philosophy, in order to enable the beginners to grasp it fully afterwards. We shall confine ourselves

chiefly to Sankara's system, which is considered the most important of all Verantic systems, on account of the sublimity of its thoughts and subtlety of its arguments.

- highest self, which is the only truth, there being no other truth existing along with it. This, I may remind you, is the only Paramarthika state, that is to say the only really true entity with us. Gentlemen, you are requested to notice the expressions really true, practically true, and apparently true, which we shall have occasion to use very often.
- (2) The doctrine of the world. Here it is said that आवध्यस्यापय न्तम् i. e., this world, from a tuft of grass to the Creator, is the outcome of Maya or Avidya, i. e., Nescionce, which comes in contact with Brahman, or the highest self, which is the only all-comprehensive

truth. It will be worth noticing here, that Brahman in the neuter means the highest self, whereas its masculine form, Brahma, means the Creator who is only a manifestation of the highest self. The world is not really and absolutely true but is only practically true. The whole amounts to this that this practically true world was created, as it were, only practically by the Creator, who is is also true only practically. In reality, there is no world, no creation, and no Creator. The Avidya or Maya, coming in contact with Brahman, becomes the centre of creation, or the origin of this world This Avidya, or Nescience, consists of three qualities, सत्व i.e., goodness or passivity, TA: i. c., passion or activity and तम: i. c., darkness or grossness. Out of these, the five subtle elements, with their respective characteristics, are evolved. From these again the subtle hodies

and the gross elements, and, gradually, all the world-phenomena, are developed. All those world-phenomena are without beginning or end. It is evolved, so to speak, from Brahman, and is re-absorbed into it, according to the theory of Karman and Sansara, which we shall speak about further on in detail.

(3) The doctrine of the soul. Thisdectrine teaches that the individual soul is not different from Brahman the highest self. The plurality of souls is not really or absolutely true, but is only practically or phenomenally true. It is an illusion as it were. The ever changeable Upvdhis, i.e., the whole psychological apparatus with merits and demerits, i. e., the fruits of our actions accompany the soul in its cycle of migration from birth to birth, without sullying or affecting the pure nature of the soul, which is no other than Brahman. This is the great doctrine of Metempsychosis or the Transmigration of the Soul.

(4) The doctrine of the future state after death. According to this doctrine, there are four states or ways. (1) Pitriyana, i.e., the path of the manes, which is meant for the performers of good deeds. (2) Devayana, i. c., the divine way which is meant for worshippers of the qualified Brahman, i. e., Iswara, and not the absolute Brahman. (3) The third way is for the wicked and sinful. (4) Salvation, which is not a way but rather a state. A true Vedantist, realising the unreality of the world, understanding the unity of souls, and acquiring the true knowledge of Atma or Soul, obtains final deliverance.

A brief summary of the Vedanta, as expounded by Sankara, will not be out of place here. It is this:—Whatever is, is in reality one. There truly exists only one

universal Being, called Brahman, or l'aramatman,—the highest self. It is pure being, i. c., pure intelligence or thought. Bralman is not a thinking being, but thought itself. It is absolutely destirute of qualities. This Brahman is associated with a certain power called Avidya, or Nescicace, to which the whole world is one for its very existence. It is, as it were, a principle of illusion. Being associated with this principle, Brahman projects the appearance of the world in the same way as a magician produces illusery appearances of things. Brahman, in so far as it is associated with Maya, may be called the material cause of the world. Brahman in this view is called Iswara, the ruler of the universe. Maya, under the guidance of this Iswara, modifies itself by progressive evolution into all the individual existences, distinguished by special names and forms,

apparently individual forms of existence, the one invisible Brahman is present, but on account of Maya it appears to be broken up into a multitude of intellectual or sentient principles, the Jivas or individual souls. The whole aggregate of physical organs and mental functions, which separate one Jiva from another, is the offspring of Maya, and as such, is not really true.

The non-enlightened soul cannot look through and beyond Maya, which, like a veil, hides from it its true nature. It blindly identifies itself with its bodily organism, and burdens itself with merits and demerits, which are the causes of its birth or rebirth, while Iswara allots to each soul the form of embodiment to which it is entitled by its previous actions. At the end of each of the great world-periods or kalpas, Iswara draws

trick the whole world, into himself which is then dissolved into non-distinct Maya, while the individual souls remain in a condition resembling deep slumber which is the nature of undeveloped Mays, After some time Iswara sends forth a new meterial world, and the old round of birth, and action and death begin anew and continues to all eternity. The means of escaping from this endless Sansara can never be found by observing the Velic injunctions, which only lead men to temporary good fortune. The fin al deliverance is possible only by knowing the higher truth. The knowledge of non-duality of Brahman and the individual soul, as taught by the great saying .That art thou," enables a man to find an escape from this miserable cycle of migration.

Before we come to the details of this system of Sankara, it will be proper

to compare it with that of Ramanuja.

Both systems teach Adwaita, i. e., nonduality or monism. Sankara's nonduality is pure and rigorous i. e., absolute unity, whereas Ramanuja's Adwaita is a qualified non-duality, i. c., nonduality with a difference. Therefore it is called Visistadwaits. According to Sankara, all plurality and difference must be illusory, whereas, according to Ramanuja, Brahman contains within itself. elements of difference and plurality owing to which it truly manifests itself in a diversified world. The Brahman of Sankara is in itself impersonal, and is a homogeneous mass of objectless thought transcending all attributes. It becomes a personal God as Iswara only when associated with Maya. Ramanuja's Brahman, on the other hand, is essentially a personal God, the all-powerful and all-wise ruler of a real world permeated and enimated by his spirit. Sankara's individual soul is Brahman in so far as limited by the unreal Upadhis (names and forms) due to Maya. The individual soul of Ramanuja, on the other hand, is really individual. The release from the cycle of migration of the soul means, according to Sankara, the absolute merging of the individual soul, due to the dismissal of Nescience or Avidya. According to Ramanuja, it means the soul's passing from the troubles of earthly life into a kind of heaven. According to him, there is no distinction between a higher knowledge and a lower knowledge, since he does not distinguish between a higher and lower Brahman.

Gentlemen, it will not be our business to discuss the merits of Ramanuja's system as we are only concerned with that of Sankara.

As we are now in a position to exa-

mine minutely the principal doctrines of Sankara's system, it will be useful to place before us, for occasional reference, a very short synopsis of the progressive ideas in science and philosophy of Europe of the present day.

Natural science divides the whole world into organic and inorganic forms of bodies. Linnœus, in the beginning of the 18th century, arranged all organic forms into species, genera, orders, and classes. His idea was that, though individuals might be developments of one primary form, the species, the genera, or the orders, must be distinct creations, and that the one cannot be developed from the other. This view was supported by Cuvier, who by his additional experience in zoology, maintained that there were fresh creations after long geological periods. This theory of special acts of creation is called the teleological, or dualistic, theory of the universe. This theory has received its death-blow from the more modern monistic theory of development Lamarck was the first enuncintor of this theory of descent or development by inheritance and adaptation. This was afterwards satisfactorily demonstrated by Darwin and Wallace. This scientific theory of the present day holds that all organic forms are deducible from one primary form. In the same way Kant propounded his theory of resolving all inorganic forms into one primary mass. This is the celebrated gas theory. This theory conjectures that the whole inorganic world was at first in a gradous state, from which various forms were gradually developed. Sir Charles Livell refuted the theory of periodic creations propounded by Cuvier by showing that the formation of the solid crust of the globe was one of continued development.

Having thus established the theory of development in the inorganic and organic departments of nature, science maintains that sensation, willing, thinking, &c., in the human mind are mere developments. from lower forms. It also holds out the hope of connecting the organic with the inorganic development. Some theories are even proposed of which the wellknown carbon theory is one. Thus it will he seen that science proposes to explain the origin of the world purely mechanically, resolving all phenomena into one primordial matter, with its capabilities such as force, &c.

Here science stops. This is scientific monism. Then comes the latest philosophy, and boldly asserts that we cannot know anything about matter per se i. e., the eternal world in itself. The perception of the material world arises through our understanding projecting its affections

(nerve irritations, sensations, &c.,) means of causality in space and time. In other words the external world is nothing but a representation of the individual intellect, by its own innate forms. That is to say the affections of the intellect are only projected in space and time and appear to he governed by the law of causality. To make this more clear, our sensations and nerve irritations (which are our only guide in knowing both the mediate and immedirte objects, i. c., the sensations and the external world) are stretched out as it were by our intellect in space and time and they appear to follow one another as cause and effect. Thus hodies are nothing more than affections that is, forces, represented as filling space. Material objects may therefore be called force-filled spaces. Taking away, then, affection or force from the so called external world, we see that only space remains, which is only a form

of our intellect and does not belong to the external world. So beyond the form, in which the external world appears, we cannot know anything of the external world in itself. Thus matter is only the objective reflux of our understanding, and has only a phenomenal or formal existence with us. In other words, owing to the peculiar constitution of our intellect we cannot know the external world in itself. It is for this reason that the Vedanta says that Avidya or Nescience prevents us from knowing the external world in itself.

Now with the internal world the case is otherwise. Here I cannot doubt my existence. Copito ergo sum. In a certain movement of the limbs, if I can eliminate the two parts, (1) the gesture, which, regarded from without, is but a part of the external world, appearing in space and time, governed by causality, and (2), the volition, which is without space, but is

with time sometimes governed by causality, we will then come to see the third particle. The Will itself. This lies neither in time nor in space nor in causality. This we can know. This is the vital force, or Soul. This force, or Will is present in the voluntary and involuntary actions of our body equally. It is in itself unconscious. This is the only thing which we can know in itself. This Will is present everywhere throughout the universe.

Let us now revert to our position in science, i. c., to the conclusion which scientific men have arrived at. They say that the whole universe is reducible to one primordial matter with forces &c., not considered as separate. We learn from the foregoing philosophical statements, that matter is nothing but a representation of the individual intellect. It is formal or phenominal. Hence, subtracting this phenominal, (in Vedantic-

language, illusory), from the primordial matter, we get only forces &c., in all of which, our learned philosopher says, there is the great central principle of existence, namely the Will. In the same manner the modern philosophy of Europe, as taught by the learned Germans, reduces the whole world into Will.

According to the Vedanta this Will is Brahman. The world, being formal only, is the product of Nescience or Avidya.

Now let us try to understand the principal Vedanta doctrines more clearly and minutely.

1. We take up the doctrine of Avidya, or Maya, or Nescience. In the opening of this part of our Lecture, let me speak a few words about the use of the two terms up (rat), and upp (asat), as used in the Vedic literature. It is well-known that the San-krit language is extremely clastic, and that this classicity is further in-

creased by the different stand-points from which we look upon an object. This very circumstance has been a puzzle to many, and was the fruitful cause of sectarianisms even in India itself. The same word could be interpreted in different ways and, herein lay the danger. To avoid this error, very great caution was necessary. The consistency of the doctrine, and the reasonableness of the interpretation are generally held to be the safest guides in such difficulties. Now let us see what sat literally means. It is derived from the root जम् (as), to be. Thus what exists can only literally be called sat. Asat is formed by adding a negative of to sat. This antonym of sat literally means nonexisting. According to the Vedanta, I may remind you, there are three states, namely परमाधिक, or the absolute existence, such as Brahman only; व्यवहारिक, or the practical existence, such as the world; and

the प्राविभामिक, or the apparent existence, such as the mistaken notion of a serpent in a rope. Thus Brahman is absolutely मृत् (sat), but practically and apparently it is च्यमत् (nent). Hence we have in the Vedas, while speaking of Brahman महेवमीस्यइद्मये यामात् i.c., it was sat in the beginning and again श्रमदेवमाम्बद्धस्ये श्रासीत i.e., it was a nonentity, as it were, at first. Thus we -can call anything मत् (sat) and असत् (asat), at the same time, looking upon it in perfeetly different lights. In the same way we can deny both the two terms सत् (sat) and पगत् (asat), of a thing when we think of it from different stand-points by the two terms. Thus we can say Brahman as mither सत् (sat) nor अमृत् (asat), because practically or apparently it is not मत् (sat) and absolutely it is not धमत् (asat) i.e., मत् (:at); so in Bhagavatgita:-

> र्षे ये यशत् प्यचग्रामि यज्ञात्वास्तमयुते । यज्ञादिसत् परम् ब्रह्म न मत्तवामदुचते ॥

(I shall tell you what is worth knowing and knowing which a man becomes immortal. The absolute Brahman is without beginning. It is called neither sat nor asat). In this way Maya or Nescience can be called neither sat nor asat i.e., Maya is neither absolutely sat (true or real) nor is it practically or apparently and i.e., non-existent.

I may add here, that this mode of writing or arguing is not allowed in modern logic. The summum genus, Being and not-Being cannot be predicated of the same thing at the same time, since it divides the whole world into two possible grand divisions. But, gentlemen, this is a mere convention meant only to bring about consistency in writers and thinkers. This, to our great disadvantage, was not practised in the old days. But we are not to he dissappointed for all that. The consistency of the idea and the soundness of the

argument can always lead us to the right path.

The doctrine of Maya or Nescience plays the most important part in the Vedanta. But unfortunately it has been the least understood, and the most misrepresented. Sir G. Haughton says that "such a system (meaning the Vedanta system) cannot be represented by language, but must be inferred by the mind from the principles." Thus, gentlemen, a little patience and attention are necessary to comprehend this system of the Vedanta. Sankara says that subject and object, i. c., the knower and the known, the ego and the non-ego, fall under the names we and you. These two, i. c., the subject and the object, are not only different but are diametrically opposed and mutually exclusive. We can never think that we are you or you are ecc. The you, i. c., the non-ego may be heard and seen and touched, but the we or I, that is, the knower, can never be seen, heard, or touched. What we know of us or of others is our or their body with its various environments, and not our ortheir soul, the nature of which is its knowing and not its being known. Therefore the subject can never be the object,. neither the object the subject. So it is altogether wrong to transfer the one to the other. Nevertheless, in our every day life, we combine the true and the false together, and say that I am this and. this is mine. Thus we say that I amweak and this book is mine. This habit is caused by a false apprehension of subjects and objects which are absolutely different,. and by not discriminating between the two from each other. In so doing we only transfer the essence and the qualities of: the subject to the object, and those of the object to the subject. The subjectcan only be ourself, and the object is.

the whole external world, including our body with its organs. Just as in mistaking mother-of-pearl for silver, a man transfers the essence and qualities of silver to mother-of-pearl, so we imagine that the living individual being is the self. This is technically called श्रध्याम or श्रध्यारीप i. c., taking a thing for what it is not. It is in this way that a man says that he is miserable because of the suffering of his child or wife. All this is therefore the result of our wrongly transferring the essence and qualities of the subject to the object, i. c., of the ego to the non-ego. This wrong or mistaken transferring, or assumption, i. c., this incorrect imputation is the out-come of Nescience or Avidya. The aim of the Vedanta philosophy is, as has been said, to drive off this mistaken transferrence by right knowledge, i. c., by knowing that the self can only be the knower and not the known. We can know

the self by being the knower only. That is tosay we can know nothing beyond the fact that it is. As the sun is said to shine by its own light, so the self knows by its being the knower only. In other words the self is only a self-luminous being, and it is not the body with its environments. Thus, we can describe the effects of Nescience, or Avidya, which consist of false or wrong transferring, &c., as described above. But this way to grasp the idea of Nescience is somewhat too learned. Let me therefore proceed in an easier way. Let us see how the doctrine originated in this system of philosophy. Suppose (1) that God omnipresent, omniscient and omnipotent, exists, and (2) that He alone exists and nothing else. Being omnipotent He can create a world; and this He does. But when we are guided by the axiomatic principle that something cannot come out of nothing, we must understand by God's

-creation, that He creates out of something which previously existed, and this something must have existed in God himself. because nothing else at the time of creation existed. Now the world is an aggregate of souls and of other objects, which are the causes of different modes of intelligence with regard to the souls. God being omniscient and omnipresent, His omniscience is present everywhere. But the individual souls are limited intelligences. Now the question arises, whence does our limited intelligence come, for which there can be no room? The souls springing up from God should have been omni-cient. like God, since the qualities of the earse must go to make those of the effect. Where is the room, then of our limited intelligence? We are aware of the fact that we are limited intelligences, and that we do not know ourselves to be Geel. This we cannot doubt. We know that

we are ignorant. If we admit that we are ignorant, our ignorance is established, and if we do not admit that we are ignorant, our ignorance is equally established, because we know that we are not God, i.c., not omniscient, in. other words, that we are ignorant. Now holding that the soul is God, and knowing that it does not spontaneously recognise. itself as God, we are forced to the conclusion that we are thus ignorant because weare ignorant, that is to say, we do not know ourselves to be God on account of our ignorance. In other words, it is owing to our being obstructed by ignorance, or Ajnana, that we do not know ourselves tohe God. Now this soul, with its various modes of intelligence, viz., the various representations of its intellect, i.e., the whole world, is ignorant of its being God. Had there been no ignorance, there would have been no individual soul with its different modes of intelligence, no world, but God. Thus we see that the world owes its existence solely to ignorance. This ignorance then, makes the world. This is then the creative principle. Thus it is the Prakrity or the energy, or the power of the omnipotent God. That is God plus ignorance or power, creates the world. So this ignorance is called Sakti, or power. Thus far we have the pure Vedanta. Pushing the question further, we can see the question in another light. The world exists owing to our ignorance. Had there been no ignorance there would have been no world. Its reality then is not true reality, It is a myth, an illusion, a phantasmagoria, a deceit, or a jugglery. Thus gentlemen,. we see that Maya, coming from the root Ma, to measure, originally meant only power or energy, and was afterwards deteriorated in its meaning when applied in the sense of illusion, &c., being leaded

upon from a theological point of view. But this latter is not the meaning in the Vedanta The world of the Vedantist is as real as his individual existence is real. It is not an illusion in the strict sense of the term. The mirage is an illusion, and the external world is real in relation to it. The world is unreal only in relation to the absolute reality, i.e., Brahman. The world is really an illusion with the Buddhists and not with the Vedantists. The ignorauce mentioned above is not so much as individual ignorance but the ignorance, inherent in human nature. It is due to our limited nature. Professor Max Muller says that "it is something like a general cosmical force, as darkness inevitable in the light, which causes the phenomenal world to seem and to be to us, what it seems and what it is." Mythologically Maya is represented as a goddess creating the world. Colloquially it is used in the sense

of affection, interest, or any worldly tie. So we can at once see how gradually the original meaning of Maya has been deteriorated in different ways and has come to signify what is only remotely or analogically connected with the main idea.

The Vedanta holds that behind what is only relatively real there is the absolute—the full reality of Brahman. Now a few words more on the origin of the idea of Maya. To understand how this limitation in our knowledge is the cause of the world, let us quote the words of Fichte. He says "that the ego is absolute and posits itself: it is a pure activity. As its activity, however, has earthin indefinable limits, when it experiences this limitation of its activity, it also posits a non-ego, and so organis the objective world. The ego cannot therefore posit itself without at the same time

projecting a non-ego, which, consequently, is, in so far the mere creation of the ego." Very similarly the Vedantist says that the soul is absolute as it is God or Brahman and does not require some other agency to originate it, that it posits itself, i.e., it lays down the position "here I am" and that in so doing it meets with a limitation. This limitation of knowledge, but for which the soul would have been omniscient God, is styled ignorance. The objectified limitation, i. e., the imitation viewed as something external, which with Fichte is non-ego or the external world, is, according to the Vedanta, objectified ignorance, or ignorance regarded as something external, that is to say, the world of the Vedantist is objectified ignorance. Thus the world has its origin in ignorance, or in the limited nature of our intelligence viewed objectively. i.e., as something external. This ignorance

may be spoken of as neg (guna), or fetter of the soul, or the trammels of the soul, and viewed subjectively, i. e., as something remaining in the soul, it is only and (ainana), or ignorance. Thus जुल (guna), fetter, and unia (ajnana), ignorance are not different, but the same. In the external world, i. c., in the objectified सावा (maya) or ignorance, we see three qualities more or less present everywhere. These are मुख (Satwa), goodness or purity, रज्ञ: (Rajas) passion or energy, and lastly तसः (Tamas) darkness or grossness. So it is लियुन (Triguna) or a three fold chord or fetter of the soul. The subjective ignorance which is the cause of the objective, must necessarily consist of these three qualities, since we know that जारणगुणाः जार्जगुणसारभाते i. e., the qualities of the effect, proceed from the qualities of the cause. Thus Aimana for ignorance, consists of these three

qualities (चिन्दातस्य). This Nescience, or Ajnana, is not like the want of knowledge, or like the want of anything,. a negation, or blank, but it is a positive phenomenal existence, i. e., it is भावत्पम्. It is the opponent of knowledge, and soit is the obstruction to our true knowledge,. i. c., it is the cause of the limitation of our intelligence, and hence it is ज्ञानविरोधि. It cannot be called real, i. e., it is not absolutely real, as it ceases to exist when we attain to the true knowledge. Neither can it be called unreal like illusion, because it has a practical reality and a phenomenal existence as real as our own individual existence. So it is called मदमद्भ्यामनिय्वं चनीयम्. Gentlemen, these relative words, real and unreal, are puzzling to many, and we need to be cautious in rightly understanding them. Nescience is not absolutely real, like Brahman, but is practically real as our individual souls are real. Thus we cannot call it real orunreal. We see that the two other relative terms such as:नित्य, eternal, and श्रनित्य, or non-eternal, or temporary, are often confounded in the same way. These terms refer to time, which is related to the creation of the world. Maya or Nes cience is नित्य, i.c., exists eternally with the creation or evolution of the world, since the idea of creation is ever present, with that of the world. "Creator" and "created" are allied terms and the ideas conveyed by each of them are eternally connected. Now the creation existing eternally, the Nescience, which is the cause of the creation, must necessarily exist eternally. How the creation is eternally existing we shall presently come to see. This Nescience again is not निला, or eternal, in the sense in which Brahman is former eternal, because we can think of the idea of the absolute eternal reality apart from the like of recation. In other words the lifes of Cr. to

tion can be eliminated from the idea of the universe, and the residue would be eternal and absolute Brahman, and then only this Nescience may be spoken of as चनित्व, or non-eternal, but actually it is not so. Practically this Nescience is नित्य, or eternally existing with the creator. Moreover, it being something like a power, or, बहि, in Brahman, must necessarily be नित्य, or eternal, as Brahman is eternal. Thus it is only the different aspects of the question which are puzzling to many. Having explained thus the origin of the idea of Maya or Nescience, let us try to understand the reasonableness of this doctrine. That our knowledge is limited and relative, i. c., conditioned by our organs and intellcet, is admitted by almost all the best thinkers of the day. All that we perceive is only phenomenal, and reality lies behind it. Of course, there are minds perfeetly satisfied with things as they appear

and are unable to understand anything except what is visible and tangible. They would hardly be convinced of any invisible or eternal existence, much less would they believe that what is visible is only unreal or phenomenal, and therefore changeable, perishable and non-eternal. Philosophy has very little concern with unphilosophical turns of mind conversant only with the bread and butter sciences of the world. Thoughtful men try to dive deep, and are able to perceive that men know directly or immediately the sensations or affections of their organs, and only mediately or phenomenally the whole external world. The "elephant and the blind men" maxim, called श्रमपुद्धिन्याय illustrates clearly how the knowledge of men is only relative or conditional. It means that a numher of blind menbeing instructed to know the elephant, touched the different parts of the body of the animal and cana to

the conclusion that each part with a certain shape was the elephant. So their ideas of the elephant differed according to the different parts of the animal's body they touched. Just so is our knowledge of the external world, which is only represented by our intellect. Professor Deussen emphatically says, that the whole word is nothing more than my representation, i. c., it is only the form in which things appear to me. In other words, things in themselves are beyond our cognisance, and what we perceive externally is only phenomeral. Dr. Johnson, when he kicked the ground, and exclaimed, in a fit of passion that "that was his external world," meant only the sensation of kicking the ground, and not the ground itself. Thus whatever we know, we know through the sensations, and thus we see that everything is phenomenal. This phenomenal, or illusive nature of the world is only meant

in the following slokn.— प्रतो न किञ्चित् परती न किञ्चित् यती यती याति तती न किञ्चित् । स्वारमाववोधादयरं न किञ्चित्

विचार्य सानेऽपि जगन्न किञ्जित्।।

It means that :- "Nothing is real here, and nothing beyond; wherever a man goes nothing is real there too; nothing there is besides the knowledge of the self and the world even appears to be nothing, when examined well." But this phenomenal world is not a mere illusive phontom of the senses. This is real as we are real. This is the Velantic view. Colebrooke also held this view, though he has been very strongly criticised by superficial thinkers. The misrepresentation of this tensorable idea of Nescience is partly due to viewing the question, sometimes philosophically, and sometimes mythologically. This I have explained abordy. Now this Maya or Newletco may he viewed in the aggregate as white

(ajnana) or the collective Nescience of the whole universe. Thus it remains as the body of creation. When viewed distributively, it is used in the plural form साथा (maya), and then it means the individual ignorance only. So in this view, matter or external world becomes a complete phenomenon. It is a thing of which we know nothing in itself. It is therefore indescribable. It passes into many shapes, which are only cognisable, and form the circle of the knowable, which comprises all oursciences. Now about Nescience, we come to understand that it is not a negation, and not a blank, but a positive entity, of which we can know nothing. Its existence in some form or other cannot be illusive. This whole Maya is nothing but nameand form. We are not justified in raising the question, "what is the cause of this. Nescience," as all our ideas of causality fall within the circle of Nescience, and.

so to find the cause of it would be like mounting upon one's own shoulders.

Instead of going into the details, we proceed to the other doctrine of the Vedan-It is the doctrine of Metempsychosis, or Transmigration of the Soul. As the doctrine of Karman or, action, or act is closely connected with it, we will handle them both together. Transmigration of the Soul means a re-birth of it after death. and a pre-existence of it before the present life. Here the question arises: How do we come to know this to be the case? Gentlemen, it is known to you that the Vedanta starts with the principle—that Brahman alone is the true reality, and overything else is only due to Maya or Nescience. The plurality of Souls is not the true reality. There is only one Soul, which is eternal. Our hodies with their organizate the effects of Maya, which is only a cortain name and form Si douth, ic., the

destruction of the body, is not the traction of the Soul. It is the destruc of its name and form only. So far understand. Now comes the doctrine Karman, to enlighten us about the fu state of the Soul after death. the present, we infer the future and nest. We know that the world is full misories. Some suffer, while others. happy. The Vedantist boldly gives anplanation of this state of affairs in ; world. He says that the cause of t inequality cannot possibly or reasonal be found in the caprice or injustice of t Creator. It is rather the result of action performed in a previous life. By Karme is not meant the individual action only but it is the combined action of the who human race, as all actions of men are alway directly or remotely related and connected with one another. Actions as causes, car not go for nothing. They must produc

effects. These actions are eternal as the world is eternal. As the actions of a previous life have received fruition in this life, so the actions of this life will lead us to another, and so on. This satisfactorily explains the question of heredity and the perpetuation of acquired habits. It is for this reason that thoughtful men have so much admired this doctrine of Karman. The Karman is not to be confounded with destiny or fate. It is rather the law of causation or causality. The last link being beyond our reach to know, since the world-phenomenon is without beginning or end, as we shall see, like the tree from the seed and the seed from the tree, this law of Karman is accordingly eternal with creation and so with Brahman itself. This Karman is divided into three classes. (1) the Sanchita, or the accumulated, (2) the Prarandha, or that which is about to develope

and (3) the Kriyamana, or the ac formed in this life. These three of actions are linked together of and effects. Thus it appears that actions are necessitated, as it we we have no choice in our actions. the question arises where lies th for our freedom of will, and where chance of salvation? If our actidependant on our previous actions have no choice in the matter, and to be carried on in the stream of for ever. The Vedantist proudly: to explain this intricate question ably. He says that his philosophy ders Jiva, or the individual Soul, made up of two parts, (1) the Soul is Brahman, and (2) the body with i vironments. As regards his body open to this necessity, and is oblig act under circumstances moulded le previous actions. But as regards his

which is Brahman, and therefore knowledge itself, he is free. The actions can influence his body, but they have no effect upon the Soul, which is unchangeable in its very nature. The veil of ignorance about its own nature it can east off at any moment, if it wills. Here then lies the freedom of will of an individual Soul. The Maya or Nescience is its own making and it can throw it off whenever it likes. This is by the way. Now gentlemen, to our former position about births and rehirths of the individual Soul. We have seen that birth and re-birth with the Vedanta are only changes in name and form. Our previous actions, as causes, must produce effects, since causes imply effects, and they cannot but produce effects by their very existence. Similarly our prosent actions must produce future (Costs, which necessarily suppose a future life, Now as these actions are comes an effects

in so far as they affect our body only and not the Soul, the eternality of actions implies the eternality of bodies of this individual Soul in the present, past, and future. In fact the theory of causation or Karman and the theory of Metempsychosis go together. When this Soul, by virtue of its freedom of will, comes to realise its own nature which is pure, absolute, characterless, and blissful, the actions cease to exist along with its body, as its individuality is then at an end, and it has no more to appear as Jiva or an individual Soul.

The origin of this doctrine is to be found in all the Vedas. The Rigveda says अमृतीते पुनरसास चन्नः पुनः प्राणमिह नी धेहि भोगम्, &c., (May the Lord give us in our future birth our organs, our vitality, and our articles of enjoyments). So also in the Yajurveda and the Atharvaveda, we find many hymns referring to this idea of the

Transmigration of Souls. In spite of all this, I may add, that many careless readers have openly announced that this idea of Transmigration is absent in the Vedas, and that it was only a later graft in the Vedanta Philosophy. In the Satapatha Brahman, Bhrigu, the son of Varuna, is informed of this idea by certain maneaters who where reventing themselves for wrongs received in their previous life. This doctrine was freely handled by the Buddhists, and was known to the Greeks. to the Egyptians, and to most of the other ancient nations of the world. It emoral effect we shall come to see presently.

Now we came to the Doctrine of Creation. In this part of our lecture, I shall not detain you long. Creation, in its erdinary sense, cannot find a place in the Velanta. We have seen that a mething manot come out of a shine. This is the test axion in the Velanta, and God with

His omnipotence cannot act against this axiom. In other words, He cannot do that which is impossible. Brahman never creates. Creation only means the act of evolution. Prakriti, the objectified Nescience or ignorance, with its overt or external (विशेष), and its covert or internal (আব্ৰুল) action, develops in many forms, and evolves in several ways, according to peculiar laws of its own. About the laws and order of development the Vedanta philosophy has very little to do, as any supposition will be equally acceptable to it provided the main doctrine, i.e., the doctrine of Brahman and Maya, or Nescience, remains unaffected. The second provision would, of course, naturally be the reasonableness of the supposition. The Vedantists are mainly guided by the reasonable idea that bodies have evolved in succession according to the degree of their subtleness or grossness. This main idea

perfectly tallies with scientific principles. and is conformable to common sease. Now. gentlemen, this creation cannot but be eternal. Iswara is eternal, and so His activity, which is His nature, must be cternal too. Owing to this eternal activity -Maya or Nescience-which is His eternal body, consisting of names and forms only, receives force, as it were, and goes on developing itself. In the Upanishade, it is said that "He (i.e., Iswara) desired: let me become many, let me pass into plurality." And thus He projected out of Himself all this world.

Here the wish to generate and look about means only the activity of Brahman in the capacity of Iswara or the Crestor. This creative thought of Iswara manife ted itself in names and forms. The night and language are inseparable, as every worl expresses a concept, and not an individual procept. A tree is not this or that tree,

but the general idea or concept of all trees. So every individual body is the realisation. of its idea, or concept. This realisation of the idea, this manifestation of Iswara's thought, is His Maya, His body or Nescience developing in names and forms,. Thus speech, or बाक, is represented in many places of the Upanishads as th creative principle. So Iswara's activity means His thinking, and His thinking implies realisation of His thought, and that ultimately comes as manifestation in different forms and names. Thus, His activity being eternally present, the creation, that is, the manifestation of His. thought in names and forms must necessarily be eternally present. When he suspends His activity for a time, or in other words, when He does not think about these ide is, this Nescience, or the world vanishes, its developments disappear, and His thought remains unrealised and

unmanifested in the form of the world. When this state of things hoppens, it is said that the world is reabsorbed in Ries at the time of perg. or universal destriction. Really it mesos nothing but the suspension of Iswara's thinking. It connection with this, let me quote engry up of the Rigoda, X. 123:

सारवामाचीपदामापाजाता भाषांद्रभा की स्थाबावरीयत । Lienanderfiet in im berimant miegit यकः जिल्लामारमपुरं मधीरम् । न मृत्यसम्बद्धां न तरि म सारम बद्ध चालान प्रवेशः ' wilder rose not सधारपान्यस्यकः विषयनास्य १ नम चामान्यमा ग्रहती: क्षेत्रं कविन्नं सर्वसः बदस् । and reputative name क्यतिकार चित्रक्षिको क्षेत्रीयार्थे ।

(It was not entity which was in the reginning, nor was it non-entity; no air was then, and no sky above. Death was not then, nor immortality. There was no distinction of day or night. That one branked without afflation, self-determined, other than and beyond it, there was nought. Darkness there was, wrapped in darkness. All this was undifferenced water, riz., the principle of unreality. That one that was void, as it were covered with nothingness, developed itself by the power of self torture, i. e., coer cing itself to a prevision of the world that is to be.) And further.

> शानम्तदर्गं समवक्तताधिमनमी रतः प्रथमं यदासीत्। सतीदस्तुमन्ति निरविन्दन् इदि प्रतीदा क्ववीसनीया॥

(Desire first rose in it, the primal germ: This sages seeking with the intel-

lect, have for id in the heart to be the tie of entity to non-entity.)

The coll in its callest connection with this creative principle becomes Iswara. This principle means gooreing itself to a provision of the world to be, and this presision is its decire to project the opheros of the world, and to part it all phenomes tally into all the insumer disterns that are to pass through them.

Let us now pass on to the District of H. In heiland Soul, It is will that a mon, penall as all the individual bodies of the weell expelled in the configuration of bother, (1) the expresse, or the exceed bedy, (2) the former, or the soldie body, and ស៊ីវ ទីសេ ឃុំឃុំស្គីស្គី ១៩ ស៊ែក ១៩ ភ ស៊ី ស៊ីប្រ ការ និស្សី Secretarion of the state of the state of Parties and This is a market market market in early there is never To garden the control of the control of the control of the control of មិន្ត សំខាត់នៃ**(នៅនេះបំព**េ (១) ខេត្ត ខេត្ត ed earliest a taggering to the cold cold to

gress envelope of the material body, (प्राप्तकार). These hodies and vestures are very often spoken of in the Vedantic langauge. Instead of going into details, I may inform you that these bodies and vistures will not mislead us, if we only understand them to mean as follows:-1. They are not like layers of the earth, arranged one upon the other, but are mixed up together. In the case of the inanimate world, the bodies express the three stages of development, and in the case of an individual living being, they express the three sides of his nature, corresponding to those of the inanimate world. According to the Vedanta, the distinction between organic and inorganic forms is only phenomenal and therefore imaginary, and all beings are only develepments from the same manifestations, which are called bodies and envelopes. The vestures are only our different

natures viewed apart from one another, whereas they remain with us, mingled together in one form of existence. The bedies and vestures are all developments from the Nescience, which is the first manifestation, From Iswarz down to the lowest form of existency, the Velantist sees a difference in development only, and the self, the Atms, is one and the cane. New, gentlemen, I should infortayou that these details have very little to do with the broad outlines of the Velsots. system as a philosophy. For alon the true howledge is one negative and the god of the Velant, i readed, all industrialities vanish, and the resid error to exist.

The the infividual Soulie acception tener to be dity and more dity, or place a second of the content and the verified at the Soul which is Problems, as the long which is the content of New York which is the content of New York

science. It is owing to the presence of this constant part, the Soul which is the source of all activity, that the body can act. So the Soul of a man is not a mere word, but is really the most important part—the centre of all energy of our individual existence. Thus we see that the Soul, or Atma, as individualised in man, is only Brahman, the constant factor everywhere present, the highest development of Nescience, or objectified ignorance. In order to understand fully the different positions of the Soul as Brahman, Iswara and the Jiva, &c. I propose giving you a brief analysis of the different grades of development.

Starting from Brahman, we can say that this absolute reality is pure thought. When it thinks about itself it is only thought, as light self-illuminating. Unaccountably this is eternally connected with the principle of Maya, or Nescience,

the creative principle. Why it is so emneeted no body knows or can know. The question of causality goes only as far as Nesciones, and not beyond it. Since exisality itself is an outcome of Nescience, to ash the cause of Nesgionee, would be asking an absurd question altogether. Now when this pure thought is connected with Nescionce, it is called by the mane of Iswara, the universal ruler. Again the thoughts of this lowers are multiplied and menifested in various shapes and words. Peling present everywhere with them, this Undimon(Iswand) approx in many shap s. as space employed in a jurtapperar as a limited space, and in many each jury or many different opers, below namel to enter that appear is really and and not many. Very similarly the different grafic of multiplestation particulations of a trained to the me Orang the

ज़िस्त्यामें (Hiranyagarbha), the तैजस (Taijasa), the वैद्यानर (Vaishwanara), or विराट (Virat), and the विज्ञ (Vishwa). But Frihman is the same and not many.

Starting from the individual Soul, I en that I know that I exist separately from the external world, or, in the language of Descartes, I think, therefore I exist. This phenomenal world is with me only an ideal representation, which being ciiminated, I remain I, and am one with the true principle of existence, i.e., Brahman. The active thoughts of Iswara, the Trajua, &c., are only the objectified gauses of the external world, and they vanish with the evanescence of the idea of the external world. I think of Benaresfor instance. Along with this thought comes in the idea of its people. If I cease to think of the city, the idea of its people will also cease to be present with one. In the same way thethinking activity

of the Soul, directed towards Nescience, or objectification, brings in the idea of the world and vanishing, destroys it. The different grades of development of Nescience being successively eliminated, the individual diva, gradually takes the position of unper Valence are deal to the last of Iswara. Here, subtracting the idea of Nescience altogether, we reach the absolute principle of exist near tydams: the highest Soul.

We now come to the Destrict of Meleta, or salvation even first to the Velande. The west Meleta, thereby memory release, and hence release from hundred the frage of the billion him, but rether a west of even it is a complete a continued resistingly to besistence and the last of margine into the very fact if each to a finite the very fact if each teach in the first place of a single of a single or a finite the very fact if each teach in the first place of a single of a single or a single or a single or a single of a single or a si

highest Soul. It is rising above the world and its phenomenal surroundings. It is the easting off of our Nescience, which is the origin of all evils. Thus Moksha, or salvation, is the realisation of the truth that "I am Brahman." Our Soul therefore as Brahman, is ever pure, and its nature is never affected by Nescience, and thus Moksha in regard to the Soul itself is meaningless. In the Soul itself there can be no bondage and release therefore is meaningless. But, owing to its contact with Nescience, it only forgets itself and considers it different from itself. Moksha is therefore the revival of the lost memory, that is, it is the correction of the mistaken notion of individuality. As such it is not a positive gain but is only a restoration of its own nature, which was only fictitiously altered. Sankara says that Moksha is not a thing to be obtained, nor a condition to be produced, but it is

the restoration of the very nature of Ithings, which ignorance prevents us from cognising. The destruction of ignorance s what all teaching, all learning, and allphilosophy, has to accomplish. As in the (ज्यहामित्र), neck-jewel illustration, one having a jewel suspended on his needs forgets it, and looks for it here and there? but rests in page, when he is informat of the fact that it was never lost, so the individual Soul, baving Brahman within his heart, only lose, sight of it hat, on being enlightened by true knowledge reslices his unity with Brahmen. It is in this knowledge, that there is no coll. no diversity, no limitation, no creation and lemd; nor there is the worker, the regiment non-even the adecest. This is proper faano. This proper or tree knees. held a rest, the knowledge of the exects of the Sail, and the highest Sail, a willed Bursaily a few Cooking of hims

ledge in contradistinction to the other kind of knowledge, which is called Appro-vidya, i. c., a low kind of knowledge. To understand this one-ness of Scals, let me draw your attention to what we do in our every day life in identifying two things. Practically we identify two things by leaving out the unessential parts of them. Brahman invisible and Brahman visible. i. c., the individual Souls, are one and the same, because invisibility and visibility, being only modifications of Nescience, are unessential parts of the two expressions. This figure of speech in Sanskrit is called जन्मजन्म. I should remind you here, gentlemen, that this non-duality of the Soul and Brahman is not in accordance with Ramanujah's system. But it cannot be called, reasonably, Sankara's innovation, as I have said before that Sankara very probably

represented other ancient teachers as regards this view. Moreover this probability almost amounts to certainty where we compare the meanings of the four Maha-vakyas, or great caying, belonging to each of the four Volve (1) regularity in a. Thou art it. (2) stangents in a. Thou art it. (2) stangents in a. I am Brahman, and (1) using the control of the knowledge is Brahman.

But then where is the negrosity of the time knowledged. We have seen that the Sulfin its evental justing to be incorporable to bein is the subject of incorporable inverses—of exils which are the exact a declar our minds and of trackles which are inevitable to the exole of left. We know that all the cale not really be no to the Sulfi and that it is in an illustration which rad a then appears. The paper large real contents that others is rething to 3 or her. But thirties in taken is such that

full Vedantistic colouring in it. So naturally the idea comes to us that if we stop thinking in that way, nothing will appear to us good or bad. If we can realise our Brahmic nature, no evils, no miseries, and no troubles, will approach us. इस्रिइन्न्रस्थितम्बति, र. c., knowing Brahman makes one Brahman. Know that you are Brahman and you become Brahman. When you reach this true knowledge, no nets will affect you, no sins will touch you, no meritorious deeds will elevate you, and you shall be one with the highest bliss, the eternal peace, the pure thought, and the fontal essence. Now the question comes whether salvation is possible before death, or after death? As you know gentiemen, that freedom of the will implies freedom of action as regards knowledge; if we choose, we may strive after true knowledge and can

acquire it at any moment of our existence here or elsewhere. But the Soul, coming to know that it is one with Brahman, cannot resilies he nature, cannot become one with Brahman, without e-sting off its beir and its covirenments, which are all nosducts of Nescience. New this body with its organ is the effect of our past setious of a previous life. As such viz., to effects of certain given cause, they must meet early remain with the Soul for a certain fixed time, after the Lapse of which the Boll will mere autority own nothing a rethe Soul will become one with Bridgen. The stark example bere is the whole of of the petter's which after the visit is redy. The who I was a come, where o one and of the ferror apply I to a rich to be. and after a me time when the less. Bartonston, It resemble prove to by Non-smillion of more above to

nue as an effect of his previous actions, even after his acquisition of the right kn while, but it will cease with the end of these causes. The actions of the present life of the enlightened Vedantist will no longer affect him, and will not prolong his journey in the world of phenomena. His world will be the world of truth. His actions of his present life will be reduced to ashes ज्ञानाहितः सर्व्वज्ञस्थानि भन्मगात क्राप्ति इद्योग. He will leave nothing behind but his name and form and his individuality. When he sees Brahman as the highest and the lowest everywhere, all knots of his heart and all sorrows are split, all doubts vanish and his works become wil.

> भिद्यतं सद्ययम्बिन्छ्दान्ते सब्बैसंग्रयाः । शोयन्ते चास्य क्षमाणि तिमन्दृष्टे परावर्तः॥

The man acquiring this knowledge is called जीवनाज्ञ. i. e., released alive. His

actions will be the same as of those who are ignorant, he will be equally guided by the same laws of nature, as his ignorant neighbour, that is to say, he will go on acting as a rational being so long as he will live. But he knows that all his works are illusive as this whole world is, and therefore they do not adhere to him, nor produce for him a new life after his death. Such enlightened Vedantists are thus described:—

(3) पाणा नाम नदां मनीरण्डला राणानरहासूला रागणारणनी विनर्वणिषमा पैळेष्ट्रमाखं मिनो । मोलावर्ष सद्भारानिगाना प्रोत्तृहिस्सानरी रुमा: पारगता विहडसनमी स्वानित योगीसना: ८

Me reover :--

(2) ऐके दस्य दिना एका २ जनना जानित्ति है हिस्से। क्रम्ये छन्छ्ये य्या ५ भविने। स्त्राना कन्यग्रेटकः। र ज्या भृतिननं दिशोऽपि वमनं ज्ञानासतं भोजन सेन्यम्य कुटुन्विनो वट् मखे कसादंभयं योगिन:॥

(The pure-hearted ascetics are the happiest of men, since they have crossed the river of ambition, of which the water is our desire, the furious waves are our anxieties, the dangerous aqueous animals are our different passions, the waterfowls are our doubts, the tree on its banks, liable to be swept away by the current, is our strength of mind, the dangerous whirlpools are our errors and ignorance, and the steep banks are our oppressive thoughts.

Tell me friend, whom need an enlightened ascetic fear, when, as his relatives, he has his patience for his father, his toleration for his mother, his peace for his faithful wife, his truthfulness for his son, his charity for his sister, and his control over his mind for his brother, and when he has the bare ground for his hed, the different quarters for his clothes and his knowledge—the clivic for his food?)

The state in salvation is like an everlasting sound and dreamless sleep, in which state there is no evil, no joy, and no iden of the world. The state of our sound sleep differs from the state of salvation. in its being temperary, and in its being accompanied with certain Sanskaras, or inherent inclinations, owing to the presence of which the external world again comes to our counisance and we see again made to suffer. Whereas in the state of salvation, the blissful state leads tioned to ever, we being merzel into corr true act in , a the nature of Bridge, ic. -the Hugara a co the tenth, the thought, and the pure joy.

We come now to the Destrict of Brille mate. The word Brahmat, thereof being

means to grow. Hence what grows or developes in the form of the universe is Brahman. But this idea is connected with that of Brahman's being associated with Maya or Nescience, and owing to which Brahman phenomenally only, and not really, becomes the material cause of the world.

Now the proof of the existence of this highest God i. e., Brahman, according to this philosophy, is revelation or Sruti. But as it has already been said, it is not solely guided by it. Reason also perfectly vindicates the truth of this revelation. This revealed doctrine follows at once from indirect or negative reasoning. For, a Vedantist would say, if not Brahman. what else would account for this seeming world? What would be its origin? What would be its material or efficient cause? The effect being given, what should be its appropriate cause?

Firstly, with regard to the materiolictic point of view. If it be possible, let neitter be elernal, evolving in the form of the universe. So nothing lies beyond it, and there is no highest self. All the individual selves, along with their connitive or intellectual apparatus, are but developments of this unintelligent motter, the primordial substance. Ac widing to the Sankhya system, it would be Prokriti with the three qualities of 昭霞 (Sitwa - goodings) (Rajas - setivity) and TR: (Tames-darking s), which are the characteristic of the Vedantic Nessieres. Relate giving the Velentic relatition of this theory, let me sum up the form Deuxonic view against restoring lem. He says, they soledy as in the Mism come all that is highest, and do yest, in plate open and religion, enemally are its results in the spligger of set flat sect watper, and in that of in rallty hope here desolate, and perverting". He goes on, "Heavy lies on our heart the burden of a world in which for God, freedom, and immortality, there is no place. The empirical sciences, dealing with matters of fact, overlook one which of all facts is the first and most certain." It is, therefore Professor Deussen thinks, lost labour to endeavour to refute materialism. Now, gentlemen, let us see what Vedanta has to say on this point. In Saririka Sutras the refutation is given thus:—

I.—The Sutra युत्ते: श्रद्धान्तराच means that materialism cannot hold, firstly because it is against revelation, and secondly because it is against reason. This primordial unintelligent matter cannot produce the world which is different in characteristic qualities from that original matter. The fundamental ground of reasoning is that the effect must potentially exist in the cause before

its origination, and is non-different from it. The stock example here is the milk and the cord. The cause. which is the milk is only called the card when it is viewed as an effect. It is itupossible to bring about an effect which is materially different from its cause. The fundamental cause of all appears in the term of this and that effect up to the lest effect of all, just as an actor approas in Antique police, and so become a the bests of all notions and terms about him. Thentore naterialism being insufficient for our marposes, we hold that Brainson, she to i with Mayn, and having prosp divine person, is the came of this world.

the The Sata unexpendence metter, without being midel by an intelligent postur, without being much posticly protest expedient substitutions parties. This is the much important of decent

- (4) The Suira प्रश्निय means that the purely non-intelligent matter cannot be the cruse of activity in producing the world. The motive power of intelligence is incontrovertible, that is, motion can be reconciled with the doctrine of an intelligent first cause, and not with a dull non-intelligent one.
- (5) The Sutra say unisculinated means that dull matter cannot be the cause, on account of the absence of any purpose on its part to bring about the creation, whereas it is conceivable in the case of an intelligent first cause.

Thus the Vedanta concludes that materialism is an absurd theory, which is not competent to explain, and account for, the world phenomenon.

II.—The Atomic view of the world is refuted in almost the same fashion as absolute materialism.

III.—Thirdly comes the Buddhistic

Nihilism. It is called the negation sugget, which means that the world came out of a void, or out of nothing. In other words entity emanates from non-entity. A Vedantist would say that entity cannot come out of non-entity, for, if things can come out of a characteriess nothing, or nullity, then the plants, the curds, the pot, and so forth, may come out of such pure nullities as the horns of a hare, &c., because all nullifies are in nature the same. Hence this theory is utterly intrees on able.

IV.—Fourthly we come to the Rudillistic Vijnanavada, or Sentationalism. According to this the ey, there is no external world, and consequently no exister of it is wanted. Every thing is internal, and all that we call external only so exto be so, and is not really external. In this the Velantist replies that if there is rething external, how can sustifie agpear external, i.e., to like an external thing. No one, says "Vishnumitra, looks like the son of a childless mother." If we are to accept the truth as it is given to us in our experience, we must affirm that the thing perceived is presented externally, and not only that it is presented like an external thing. In other words the Vedanta will not allow a perfect idealism. In so doing it is not to be considered as inconsistent with itself, as we know that the external things with the Vedanta are as real as the minds that perceive them. Individual Souls, and their environments, are true for the many, they have a practical existence, and they are real from the practical point of view. They are only unreal from the transcendental or metaphysical point of view.

V—Fifthly we come to the Doctrine of Dualism i. e., of the existence of matter and spirit, which is equally unreasonable to a Vedantist. In other words, the idea

that God, the highest Spirit, created matter out of nothing, is absurd with a Vedantist, since the idea goes against his axiomatic principle that something cannot come out of nothing; and this truth God even with His omnipotence, cannot set at nought.

Thus a Vedantist proudly announces that his doctrine is perfectly acceptable to all people, as it is an out-come of solid reasoning. To an Indian Hindu, it is both revelation and the soundest philosophy.

Thus, gentlemen, we are now in a position to understand Brahman as the mate" riai and efficient cause of the universe in a modified form. Let us now learn something about the nature of this highest Soul. This Brahman, the impersonal Self, the absolute Truth, the only Reality without a record absolute reality by its side, is the Higher Brahman. This

Higher Brahman, this principle of reality when viewed as associated with the coeternal principle of unreality, i. e., Maya or the creative principle, is called Lower Brahman, or Iswara, This Brahman again, when connected with further deveiopments of Maya, is looked upon as an individual. To understand this idea more thoroughly let us imagine an algebraical series such as $x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 & \dots$ ad infinitum. Here we see that each of the succeeding terms in the series varies according to its index or power. On account of the difference of the index only, the terms of the series appear different from one another; but we see that the hase x remains all along constant. Now if we suppose the indices, viz., 1, 2, 3, &c. to vanish, we see that all the terms become one and the same, since x^0 , will always be the same as unity. If we apply the signs to illustrate the Vedanta

doctrine, we take the power or index to be Maya, varying at each step of its development, and the constant w to be Brahman, appearing in different Souls. When this Maya, like the index, vanishes, (i. c., when the index is reduced to zero) the individual being, like each of the terms in the series, becomes Brahman, which like the first term of the series is represented by unity. The different terms represent Brahman, Iswara, Taijisa, and Prajna, &c., to endless individuals.

Let us now speak a few words about the intere of this Higher Brokinson. To make the idea cher I shall quote the Uponisheder—rang agripe ancientaries with Albert within the foreit or after it, nothing without it or within it.

भ गण गणमे एकि म यामुम्बर्ग भी मनी म रिक्स इ. विकासभी सम्बेशकारियायमानि क्रिक्सियायो स्टिक्सियायि स्टिन्टिक्सियायस्थानि क्रिक्सियायो where words are baffled, and where minds fail. We cannot know it, we cannot grosp it. Whom, therefore, shall we instruct about it? It is beyond the known and beyond the unknown.)

यक्तनमा न मनुते येनाइमंनोमतम्।
तदेव वृद्धा त्वम् विधि नेटं यदिदमुपासते॥
यक्तद्रपा न प्रमृति येन चच्च्रंपि प्रमृति।
तदेव ब्रह्म त्वम् विधि नेटं यदिदमुपासते॥
यक्त्रोतेण न मृणोति रोन सोह्रसिटं स्वतम्।
तदेव ब्रह्म त्वम् विधि नेटं यदिदमुपासते॥
यत् प्राणेन न प्राणिति येन प्राणःप्रणोयते।
तदेव ब्रह्म त्वम् विधि नेटं यदिदमुपासते॥
तदेव ब्रह्म त्वम् विधि नेटं यदिदमुपासते॥

(Which, i. e., Brahman, the mind cannot know, but by which the mind is known; which the eyes cannot see but by which the eyes are seen: which, the ears cannot hear but by which the ears are heard; which the vital airs cannot breathe, but by which they are breathed: you are to

know that as Brahman and not that which is worshipped).

धगळ्सम्यासम्बद्धाः तयासम्बद्धसम्बद्धाः । धनायमनाबद्धाः वस्तुः विचाय तस्तुनुस्थान् प्रमुखः ।

(He, who has perceived that which is without yound, without touch, without form, without decay, without type etermal, without small, without beginning, without end, beyond the Grad wood one changeable, is free! I total the five of death).

ने नम गुणांभाति न भन्नताक योगा विद्युत्तेभातित्वत्रते, गम्बतः । तमेय भानवानुभाति गात नव्यभागा गम्बेभय विद्याति । वद्यविद्यामते पृथ्यत्वद्यायः वद्याद्वया प्रस्तित्वर्थतः

यथगोर्ड समृतं ब्रह्मे वेंदः विक्रिसटं वरिष्ठं ॥

(The sun does not shine there, nor the moon and the stars, nor these lightnings and much less the fire. When he shines, everything shines after him. By his light all this is lighted. That immortal Brahman is before, that Brahman is behind, that Brahman is right and left. It has gone forth below and above :—Brahman alone is all this: is is the best).

This Brahman is the uncaused cause of all, but in its real essence and pushing the world-fiction and its figments out of view, it is neither cause nor not cause, nor both cause and not cause. It is other than the known, and above the unknown, i. e., it is the same as the self of the knowing subject. It is this pure light which actuates the inner sense by irradiation, and as this pure light or self transcends all

edificate anter and inner source, the istr word come is incompatent to approach P. Brahman causes the unconstions modes conscious modes of the mind. It is self-luminous. It is une as close, for constance a hazing with Justice. It is the intelligence out the ight with our engi this do. It is the in and knowledge withent any object to be branche to tenteral Les Charles Brahman Care Males to the All alogonal the destination of entire and the two carry to dende the force And a good had that Bridger to the Street helver Toron Condition is expected at the regist. the arrange maintain dig. They delive a r s duting the specific to be a second to a let made length life his house with the Married Contracts & Super Contract of the Cont

The same was the same of the s

(Though the ways of devotional life of men, taking to various systems of worship, are ever so different, though some ways are straight-forward and othersround-about according to their differenttastes, following either the Vedic doctrine or the Sankhya method, or the Yoga system, or the Shaiva School, or the Vaishnava faith; yet Thou art, O Lord! the only resting-place of all, as the ocean is the ultimate destination of all the running waters on the surface of the globe.) Thus the second concept of Brahman is that of the Saguna or qualified Brahman-a personal being, eternally associated with the world-fiction, or the creative principle, i. c.. Maya or Nescience. In this view he becomes the Creator, the Omnipotent, the Omniscient, the Omnipresent, &c. Characterless absolute Brahman cannot produce the world, because it is characterless, as the sun reflected in the water can-

t may an area to the total and not produce the reflection, if there he are water. The image of the tun on a data of water expands with the expansion, and e atracte with the contraction of the sign ples on the surface, presently their motion, and is broken by their the altere. So the reflection varies, and entertions in the chy. In the come way, the real cell, the do cinter Broken and cody with the Signature automate, the hold of William to the court to the court of th Apar from the enthropie of control of To did not be the world on the first of

Here it is given to decline the confirm of a temperature. Well it is not the confirm of a temperature. Well it is not the confirm of a temperature in the confirm of a confirm of the confirmation of the confirmat

which must be accounted for. Thus the concept of Iswara is equally valid as that of our own individuality. Thus the Saguna, or qualified Brahman, is the absolute or Nirguna Brahman when looked upon as associated with Maya, or worldfiction. That Brahman is eternally connceted with the world-fiction we cannot gainsny, when we know that we cannot conceive a total destruction,-a perfect annihilation of matter. Thus the world must exist phenomenally for all time, only changing in shape, because what we cannot conceive cannot be possible. Thus, being associated with Maya, comes Iswara, the world-projecting Deity, the lower Brahman, who is only phenomenal to the enlightened Vedantist. But to the unenfightened he is relatively real. Thus he is the first manifestation proceeding from the absolute Brahman associated with Maya. He is the sum of all individual

and the state of t Scale in the state of dreamlers steep, and his body, the principle of emanation, is the sum of bodies of living being circlest white. He is one, and the individual Sortare many, as a cheet of water is easy and the drops of water are many, as the forest is one, and the tree- in it are trans. In this phenomenal form to is called the Opening the transfer of the univers, administering justice, with a r wards, indicting positionents, a time all South in motion, and incline in the heart of every rentlent being. These it still for now of their this last gradies in the to fel or the fill of other college as a standard in eine einene eif tier bielber i Bellie ein bie marid tagence, and exist new again to a the universe is the rule of it. The exten n Violantist a Diffolism of the execut personal date to the process and in the co State This Voluntie Investigation of 化复大器 化二氯化 医凯克氏性 医二氯化甲烷 医多二氯化甲烷

est truth, the absolute Brahman, at the and of the world, and issues forthwith the world from eternity. In this form He is worshipped as Iswara, Purusha, and many other names. He is assent, i. e. the world is horn out of him, it is absorbed in him, and it breathes in him. He remains in the heart of men. In this view he is measured as the thumb, which is the fanciful measure of the human heart. But, gentlemen, we are not to understand that there are two Brahmans, the one unconditioned and the other conditioned. With us, indeed, who are concerned with names and forms, -the product of Nescience, -there are two. But in reality the two are one and the same. The one is conceivable and the other is inconceivable, the one is phenomenal and the other is absolutely real

A description of Purusha will not be out of place here. Rigveda X.:

परायमेश्वी पुरयः सरस्यापः सरस्यात् । म समि विकास एकाव्यक्तिहरू असम र पर्य एपेट सर्व यदस्य यस स्थास । प्रमास्य तथा स्वाहित स्वाहित स्वाहित है। mengengenun unteren ereinmaten einem ! the first war from the second of the second second of the first of

中海,我们就没有好的。" Entropies of the wife He compare they all or as you have I response, relation to entire to the 美国相关的 化取取 建二维化二维化二维化二维 **3.3. 医克勒氏 电电子 医**基氏反射 1.3. 电电流 the Harrison Edward A first region of the first the factorial and have story as a fig. 1 ·阿勒斯特·斯特·斯特斯特克特·斯特·斯特·斯特·斯特 A Swith Howard 影性感染的 网络大鹅刀 野 人名德尔 the particulations of spatialisations in The wife is the first that the second of the

quarters, i.e., the greater portion of Him is eternally present in the luminous sky i.e., in space illuminated by His light.)

य एव सप्तेष जागत्ति जासं कामं पुरुषो निर्किमाणः। तदेव एकं तदन्या तदेवासृतस्यते॥ गर्दिकीयाः विकाः पर्छे तद नात्वेति करान। शन्तिर्घर्वेक्रीसवनं प्रविष्टी रुपं रुवं प्रतिरुपो वस्त्रव ॥ एकीवगो मर्ब्यभतान्तराला एकं रुपं वहधा यः करोति। तसासस्यं ग्रेज्यपद्मन्ति धीरा न्तेषां गान्ततन्ते तरेवाम ॥

(The Spirit that is awake in those who are asleep, fashioning one enjoyment after another. This is the pure Self, this is

the immortal: all worlds are contains i in it; beyond this none can pass.

As one and the same fire pervales a place, and shapes itself are ading to the shops of every thing (in which it is easy); so the one Self, that gam day in all living beings, thapes it off agrarding to Chili different shapes. The wise of within their one heats the openial only had the Self that is in all living beings, that now its one form to be ome many; everbettar illes is for them and not be other a

Now, gentlemen, no copy to the ethical teaching of the Vedesta - Many charge have been brought agent the Vodatska za rozarda st. raride kr thoughters as the cost rates to contallane. Some of there is now to be follows: -

of that the Velocity of high a Investigate with a partition and that it is Linkly & Ballinger, Burger

utterly apathetic and indifferent as regards the miseries and sufferings of others, who are considered as only illusions, and because it enjoins a man to concern himself with his own Moksha, or salvation, without minding the world at large, which with him is only a myth.

- (2) That the Vedanta makes a man perfectly irresponsible for his actions, for the senses find their objects and act with them, whereas the Ego, the Self, which is pure bliss, is never affected in any way by those actions. The idea of duty towards others is utterly absent in the Vedanta.
- (3) That the Vedanta is deficient, because it cannot supply a solid foundation of morality.
- (4) That the system of the Vedanta has no object for worship, or indeed anything to elicit and sustain religious life. So it was compelled to crave the help of polytheism.

Besides these, we often meet with many other clumes reflections arsinst this lifely system of philosophy, and the o we p by he alloned knowing that tenth will ultimately provail.

Now, Gentlemen, the self-ericle of all there is utilized almost the best to the in met ther wells is topolise the ti destribe of Newletter, a cool daily. Table exteful robin this born by Both that the Notice, he will be rate was a standing but a White a mathematical transfer of In electly distribution of the second 動物をされるものでは、とだったがった。 直接 野 一种 化二十二十分 我还是我的情况,这一大人的人 计预制的 磁点流纹 Land Anna Strate Contraction of the

clearly seen while speaking about Nescience.

Before giving a Vedantic reply to al these charges, I ought to state that most of the learned thinkers of the age are of opinion that morality is not to be founded upon religion, but should always have an independent basis of its own.

To meet all the above charges in a body, the Vedentist would quote the important enpression "तल्यामि," the Mahavakya, as it is called. It will be seen that this short expression is the fountain of morality, the source of universal love, and the very ocean of all our noble feelings and religious sentiments. The highest idea of morality as regards other people is expressed in the well-known sentence, "Love thy neighbour as thyself." But the Vedanta goes further and says, "your neighbour is yourself, and you are to love him, not because he is your neighbour,

but because he is not different from year ell." To a Volantiet समुपेय क्रुटराय स् i. c. the whole world, is his own. Acengling to the Vedanta, he is called learned who can every other being as be doe limed " शास्त्रम् मर्केन्द्रेत् च चलित्रः v(quatt. Introving a neighbour as .. neighbour, we can see nothing to a daile exe of that the idea is reversible, but it leader a neighbour to exceed, not in sufficient reason supported by receiving The provides from the contract to the or to Multicalinthe Bulled amy execution of the Wealt, abudable set was the may have the Loristics hat that yo may be the self the for the best of in almaniferation is the first of the state of to resolution. Non in the start of 野生 机 野山 一路第二十二岁的 交通线 化多流流池 RECORD WE SEE BY STATE OF PERSON BUSINESSES ent transfer that are a retrievely

injure his neighbour. Sruti says सा हिंखात मकी भूतानि " i.e., no one should injure any living being. Is not this simple expression more pregnant with ideas of universal love, than the commandment "Thou shalt not kill"? It is said that त जावानाता, c. e., an enlightened Vedantist, is not bound to follow any law of morality, as the world with him is only an illusion. This remark is the shallowest of all. Gentlemen, the man who had to practise self-denial, self-restraint, concentration of the mind, hardships necessary to study, collectedness and faith, solely for the purpose of being initiated into the mystery of this philosophical secret, -the man, who after constant abstractions of the mind, realises the high principle that the world and its pleasures are worth nothing, and that his Soul is one and the same with the highest Self,—the man who

enne, to understand that his bitter " conful enough is no other than dien off, s may, the man who has no interest to a allie, no party to follow, no kin a a to please, and no rieber to earn, sould a mor is supposed by the election to live by the juring his neighbours, by need ofter to duties, by pleasing only his against the co may by billing his mother soil rath a This is for from being theory. But thinking i in and people can think a they please. Gantlemen, it is difficult to think rightly. The art on the are less to threat question, as why as calleder a Volumes deald day the mid list, there actions only had love that the expect means thing to meet the object radicate to the time actions of By the Karl of the Service the Medical Co. Tryen, hory, the writer of Victorial and a transfer of water delight of the Bossel to the

his long-acquired habits of morality which have become natural to him. A more reasonable reply cannot be imagined. A Vedantist, before he is enlightened, sees the world as every other man does, and the world to him is as much a reality as himself. In this state, his feelings are the same as those of any other man. He has his duties to perform, he has his Iswara to worship, he has his faculties operating for various ends, and lastly he has freedom to acquire the true knowledge, i.e., the knowledge of Brahman. After he is enlightened, he considers the world as phenomenal, and sees the truth behind this phenomenal and illusory world, but at the same time, while he lives with his material body, he lives like a sensible man with his acquired habits acting upon him as his second nature. Professor Max Muller has very aptly said, that we find

othic in the beginning of the Veloutal, ethics in the middle, and other of the end. By this he probably means to say that the young approach to the Velestie period is a highly rapid runs in the legiraing, the residency this court, living a life of ambride in the addle is a liably read biles, and according on t Notabilit dies at the ericus of type of magnify. Grathmen it is end that Newstiebe employees polyther And to enlight the ear hard begit a great Bern of Belfeit bie file till til de it bereite for och bei this one position as with walk that we Almost . No hour for the war top : Contract to a product that the contract of 美国国际主义的 计分类执行 医动物性 From the property of the second of the second and that we have you do any For the state of a section of the grant percentage of the first terms. 双基本 化铁矿 网络黑色 经金属品 化光谱 化二氯

no real idol-worship. This is clearly proved by the unholy nature of the idol itself before and after it is worshipped.

Looked at from an utilitarian point of view, the Vedanta teaches the highest and most perfect form of utilitarianism in the world. If utilitarianism means the greatest good to the greatest number, the Vedanta teaches the highest good to the whole number. It teaches the universal good, the universal truth, the universal love, and the universal joy.

It is said that the Vedanta does not promise any hopes of future happiness to man. Gentlemen, here our critic is right so far. But it should be remembered that even heavenly bliss, not to speak of earthly happiness, is condemned by the Vedanta, and rightly so, as being fleeting and transitory, and therefore not worth enjoying. The heavenly bliss, which, by the law of the transmigration of souls,

is sure to result in a change which read on its san need and he intelegable, thould not and comput be, not a line to the Year Southtie show the bilder of the party A. Vedender wants on existence of stops mal and percedulity, from with there is no falling back, no retreat. Take the at least the Velanta propile . The thoronomic to be stated by the first of the esta chi ta in rede tradictive con il e her high reality for an include the fire Charles to all. When we think that who with the first Violation of Secretary 轮轮 建氯化物 化二烯二烷 化二氯化 ment of first color for the color of the col New transfer to the territory 李维生的 经收益 电流压 经收入股份 1911 B. S. W. Way.

5 g 7 % the state of the s 三大学 \$10 xxx \$10 xxx \$10 x

fessor Max Muller, the great admirer of the Vedanta Philosophy, while speaking. about this syllable, tells us that wha is said in the Upanishads about Om seems to him mere twaddle. But, regretting apparently this strangeremark of his, he exonerates himself by telling us that Om originally might hav some meaning. It might have been the contraction of a former "Avam", meaning "that", while "Ayam" in Sanskrit means-"this". In that meaning Om would stand for tat,viz.,"it" in the great saying तत्त्वमसि i e., Thou art it. In this way, he adds, Om ultimately might have been used in the sense of Amen in English. Gentlemen, in dealing with such a subject, our European critics labour under a great disadvantage. They are not accustomed to the Indian methods of treating a subject, or viewing a thing. प्राणदृष्ट्या उपामीत, i. e., "Brahman should be worshipped, view-



of its import which is applicable to any form of worship.

net a letter, but the whole syllable, and and are signified by it. The fourth is and thus three manifestations of Brah-(naisisa), and a (ma) the yre (resign), the Start (Valeranara), & the Hate (u) Fe an besylana ei mO, eba deinaqU adub threed by any other idea. In the Maneentre of existence without being dishis attention is at once directed to the Om. A Vedantist utters this syllable, and the idea of development, &c. Not so is it is connected with other ideas, such as purposes of meditation, simply because equally sacred, but it is not suitable for sacred syllable. The word Brahman is Brahman, and therefore it is the most fication are inseparable. Om signifies on its signification. A word and its signiany value in itself. All its value depends Liver deannot be thought of as baying



and adored only because the simple syll-

est Deiby.
Gentlemen, all along these pages we

Muller can satisfy both a Huxley and a Max-Vedautic Philosophy lies in this, that it research. The unique character of the wholly exploded by modern scientific tems of this nature having deen partly or deutal knowledge, all other unciont sysphilosophy, which treats about transcenis the most correct system of hypothetic canon, we see that the Vedanta system come under it. Testing it by this logical factorily explains all the phonomena that Yown theory is held to be valid if it satishave spoken of the Vedanta as a theory.

In conclusion, it would be interesting to quote the opinions of some learned European thinkers on the Vedanta sys-

vith the abundant light and vigour of oriental, unearing the Vedanta), like a feeble Promethean spark in the full flood of heavenly glory of the noon-day sun, faltering and feeble, and ever day to be extinguished

ready to be extinguished.

6. Professor Deusson says, "the system of the Vedanta equals in rank to Plate and Kant, and, is one of the most valuable products of the genius of mantind in its search for the eternal truth."

Thus a Vedantist might fearlessly as-

sert that his ancient philosophy, although it dates far back into the twilight of history, has wen the admiration and approvant farmy wise and profound thinkers of every age;—that, when divested of its sectarian garb, it represents appropriate by a universal and comprehensive psychology;—and that there is no form of religion-existing in the world that cantelligion-existing in the world that of its

CLI - Angle and Atzacay any

and of the spirit of the active induction of the active active and the active a

THE THE

tained in the following pages being complementary to what has been said in the preceding pages, a certain amount of repetition will be unavoidable.

The subject of Vedantism is very abstruce; it is much to be feared that within the compass of a few pages it may not in the compass of a few pages it may not be possible to say all that is required. It should, however, be borne in mind that this is only a popular exposition of that this is only a popular exposition of the subject, dealing only with its very broad and salient features, without any attempt at an elaborate discussion of animute details.

Although, Nedantism offers great difficulties to the average intelligence, it cannot be gainsaid that directly or indirectly, it has greatly influenced the mind and conduct of the teeming millions of the Hindu population of India; in America, also, its influence has now begun to be felt. There is scarcely a Hindu

forth to meet the practical aspect of thus from its speculative aspect, it goes Luture and of the bunna mutal. to study a ban etal and events to of the various phenomena manifesting cosmogeny, the origin of the universe and the many. As philosophy it deals with ing down practical rules of conduct for chosen few, but also a code of othics, layonl system for the edification of the and a religion. It is not only a theoretitism For Vedantism is both a philosophy dom fails to be a true disciple of Vedandrawer of water; whatever he be, he selilliterate drudge, a bewer of wood and all the knowledge of the world, or an ne be he a learned man, steeped in aid guigade bas reterrade sid guibluom environment are potent influences in their transitoriness. His heredity and them to heart, because he is aware of exast don esob ed bas ; eldativani era

only partially realised if, with his eyes ai elil aid tant eleel and .bedeites ton si saemonedq: to blrow ett baided bas na ita desire to know what lies beyond gnol or test at rever at rest so long est eategory of experience, and that the gion of sense-perception is not the highnomenon of the world of matter, the reof seuse, a feeling that the external phecraving for a knowledge outside the world Science, there is in every one of us a only a half-truth. For, even in spite with its scope and procedure, expresses of Science, although quite consistent range of his fettered reason. This view perception, are beyond the scope and sical questions, which lie outside his senseof sense and that, therefore, all metaphydoes know is limited to the external world denied to man, that what he can and Science is that absolute knowledge is

open to the world of sense, he

en aeron-rojn, out or taild enhance

vice and extraction of the continuous of the continuous sin to make bar do only parion and tegalod hetere the chimner collaboring or mid tion julie legitonny oilt no bun junoitalor ring to mild that the evitational. sait no gizulie cleded landing, sludic zerp.

राष्ट्र रहे हैं। उन्हें र राष्ट्र के वे लेखा है है है है है है species feels exceptions in a claim or gard, or early considered to the first Suppose a circles exportential elitto except editor *ilter en ir daliber v colt en euch ira burt

knownible—the known and the unknown -an odt has eldsword odt eronr frieq

comfort from what Science teaches, man the human heart; so far from deriving any This attitude of Science does not satisfy -come into contact.

we shall, as years roll on and we find But since, 'Man lives not by bread alone', and for bread we shall knock at her door. so progressive. Science will give us bread; remain untouched by Science, be she ever Universe are unfouched and shall always explain. The eternal verities of the the mysteries that Science can never montal ot min solds a sold si tarbom is satisfaction for the hankerings of the (यवस्तिविद्या); for herein alone there turns to metaphysics, to Apara-Vidya spiritual experience. He, therefore, with and account for, the world of our Para-Vidya (441f441) fails to grapple instinctively feels that Science, being

· never be put off: Is the material world ourselves with an insistency which can of the Universe, we cannot help asking we honestly and bravely face the problem requires to be grasped and interpreted. It yet this underlying permanent principle bad feesees no lo emret ai ti terquet rorld of mind and matter? Can they inbelind the everchanging and sensuous neut principle which stands beyond and as they are, adequately grasp that permaint erproted. But can our senses, transient which also requires to be grasped and same, there is some permanent principle which are, for two moments, never the conviction that underlying the two worlds terms of, our senses. But we have a further grasp and interpret them through, and in of the categories of our experience. We two, Mind and Matter, form only a part there is also the world of mind; and these There is the world of matter; and

of a symmetries they may be made and en exem Paigna of ethe ett. Ethen Chomasi - a fee out to constitue att Hatter of an in last outher it additiongular ander oute Address and with the world depute of the confe tark within class and thought of hadde out S neifte naligan na allen molt bein einign dad on they doll in and delinated out to oldients on ai be Vens zuich is oldeges ton alabla di laided kaldtemes don made is no destinition but Laibete of ct erainper delda guidt Clas odt-lla ai flla

which the representation of the re-As in the first promote of officery and things in

supersensuous things beyond the categories of human experience gives us any satisfaction.

blrow lensings. whose may a is to be attributed the photo whom, or, to speak more accurately, to of the Universe is, therefore, the Brahmun themselves oventually. The ultimate fact Oreator, into which all things resolve the Divine Power, the Sakki 可同 of the Prabriti सूल प्रचार ; it is, in other words, nomena is mayor or as it is also called, Mulo less explain. This permanent basis of phedoum, quary younge seases and doidy yaid? permanent and eternal behind it—someour attention to the existence of something knowledge of the material world, it calls sanse experience to be the source of our factory interpretation. While admitting Vedantism, however, offers us a satis-

The power that we essointe overthe the south of a long that of the southest of the south of the

degradation of meaning. Originally signification of meaning. Originally signification to own the ignorance or illusion which, confining our attention to oreation, veils from us the sight of the Oreation, veils from us the sight of the Oreator—the Highest Reslity.

in itself the absolute Infinite Being, that ignorance is removed and the soul realises thed unless and until mayor or the veil of material world it will refuse to be satisitself out to something lying beyond the heart unsatisfied and wistfully stretching will enable us to reach will still leave the The highest knowledge which Science mennl world and peeps into higher truths. Earthly' the former transcends the phenothat, while the latter is of the 'Harth, of Metaphysics than that of Science, viz, And herein lies the greater importance

attributes,' . How then is the final goal, the realisa-

etinfini diw eanesed eldaegnanionu un' et

The strong to the transfer to the strongs Land to the second to be deliced a con-The first to the first of the springs. To the total the many property of the forest variety a sugarpen movement equin of the stand of application to omeo the of the contract to an embrace out misson the self-to the transfer thought bould And then the hand off to obtoboom ent of their of a distribute doubt wing, the world of colour, such this crow regularly to black out hose next reds. i date with acto guitglastan oilt de noil AI

beset him, yet enable him to reach the goal.

And that highest perfection can be attained by practising "renunciation, tranquillity, self-restraint and swaddha (FE) or faith." And what is renuncia-

eerses is, indeed, a necessary condition; to the eternal truths. Suppression of our condition which will enable us to attain the illusions of the world' is not the only elutting our eyes and our hearts against quently to be suppressed. But mere they stand as hinderenses and are consesenses; there they avail as not. Rather, there is no field for the activities of the behind the world of matter or of mind, But for the world which lies beyond and busy in analysing its component elements. the world of mind, too, we are constantly always ready to receive impressions. In our senses are ever vigilant; they are tion? In the material world of actions,

tion of his sim. For, without it, the mind is distracted by passions and is so prevented from the complete realisation of the truths which it is longingly in search of and which, without single-ness of aim would never be possible.

Nor is Shraddha, or faith, to be bearest banished from the mind of the earnest devotee, pursuing the search of the verities; he should bring to it a lowliness of heart, with Reason to show him that the and Faith to whisper to him that the verities are the only Realities and that they are knowable, if only we approach them with hu nility, reverence, and in the spirit of renunciation, resignation, undispirit of renunciation, resignation, undisp

But the teaching of Vedantism does not stop here. Earthly desires, passions and attachments, being perilous obstacles in our paths of righteousness, are to be removed completely from us. Moreover,

turbed peace and self-control.

and practise penance, charity, truthful word lliw and has mid read emor sour lliw, esild teadgid edt of gainists morf effect upon man's soul and prevent it pulses and passions, which have a marring -mi easires bas enoitations. L'emptations and desires, immid liava lliw, estos suouriv yd berroq will realise that mere knowledge, unsuped bus ; besserqer ed lliv sesluqui live the Godhead which is his final goal. All more ase bis distance more and more from Iliw ezenanostdyir diw eldiraquiosai undees for rightenosness; for anything upon his conduct all that is good and with the Infinite Being, bring to bear noitsler aid bas eruten aid bootsreban pure. He will, because he has rightly ed ylinaseoon Iliw abrow bus anoitou ainate bis individual soul; his thoughts, -education didy suiddy as he gaiob edd or And proceeding thus, he can never stoop .luoS lastevinu edt as emas edt si doidm

Fig. Commission possession frames -'Dad out; to materile or roots of the energy of mental to materialistic on material of made the ed dress ours carso will ideals Law excession to mention the state at Songacting all rathed through the Entrophose After the Annie me the production of the true

sail doond als possessorables.

that end being nothing more than the trealisation of Self—a condition in which the Jiva (बीब) sees "self in self", and thus moral conduct is only a preparation—a diksha (दीबा) which, although very necessary, yet occupies an inferior position to the highest ideal,

even as the Purusha (gat) made sacrifice we cannot shrink from making sacrifices, ed ir our social and domestic relations, ber this, we cannot fail to be disinterestrate lives in And as long as we rememproduce and sustain a multipilicty of sepator others, in order that "His life might preme Being who poured out His life outcome of the sacrifice made by the Sumind that the phenomenal world is the ni qeed ot eanstroqmi teadgid edt to ei di ance of our duties. In practical ethics. ates us at all from the practical perform--readisation of the highest ideal exoner-And besoqque ed ton teum ti oz bak

amid of gens gaimes earths pely, logiveness and charicy; -all social has Williamd an Rians, bur oskey at rewit stadio not flormid collines unit nea odse nem out bad esculut ban giring stills at swoß evol lo elgioning nommes and laufare n no behards clowels threaded on a trees and most conisib yllubivibai ilguedt -lu tant leet flade ew doidw ai meintha io adgioal eat or Alebamisto en beel fliw unilgissibiles ban aebaeanas-iles to een the suppression of egotism and the pracand wolfol noisulonee lesigol out aen soch to our alankar (AFTH)? And, therefore, sub don diel Sesvies nwo quo et doen Moeste from an aciae tron an over-attach-S earld Line anile to noisgeones out earling in order to create the world. Whungs

-idq adt et senilmo toind at tovode all' -nes it han tandreded de teoque vidge el line et paint to becom regang e at bus - eged elt tadt seriner (anne ent su deser-

vorld. Nor will the effect of the study be ond and behind the effect of the study be limited to the speculative side slone. It has a practical bearing in life. For, it will develop in us all those noble qualities which lead to the perfection of human nature, and will enable us to realise, in the fullest measure, our duties to ourselves and to all created beings in the world, and to all created beings in the world,

it does, above the narrowness of different gion, the religion of the Vedanta, rising, as have now come to be associated with relielsimesee as nadt enoiteroes ekil erom from the rites and ceremonies, which, and so it necessarily follows that, apart all religions are what the Vedanta teaches: The eternal truths which form the basis of by the different religions of the world. besingooer era doldw awal lautiriqe esodt Ils banot ere ei ni bas ; ebeere bas edtist is absolutely unsectarian, embracing all As a scheme of religion, Vedantism,



ligion of Vedantism, approach him who has realised in himself the grand ideal that the Absolute Infinite Being cannot belong, from His very nature, to any particular party; nor can He he worshipped under any special form or by any particular name.

communion with God. absolute abnegation of self and in direct life and to live in happiness, in peace, in de begins to realise the true purpose of the uniterial world disappears for bin and onenessi. And when this stage is reached absolute monistic perception of spiritual understands that he has reached the that he is one with God; -and then he bighest stage, when he comes to realise tion that He is intra-cosmic, to the God outside Nature, through the concepof evolution, from the conception of a A true Vedantist rises, by a process

vates in himself all virtues, he who livesthe life of resignation and abstinence, undisturbed by the distractions of the world; he who lives purely, thinks purely, and lives in contemplation—he alone can realise the God-head and can attain to Mokska (APA).

life of righteousness, without which wein our lives, in short, in order to live the temptations that assail us every moment edt tenisge thyft of has eblrow edt and to perform our duties assiduously in mind that in order to cultivate the virtues teaching will be clear, if we bear in this is not a true appreciation of its all the avenues of life in asceticism. That qu sesolo di yd ddynst noiseyende-lles edt of life, to lead a life of inaction, and that draw himself completely from the arena Quietism, that it teaches man to with. its highest form, is indentical with It is often said that Veduntism, in

active pursuit of Truth; and if he wants active pursuit of Truth; and if he wants of the form the fetters of births and deaths, he must perform Karma slone depends whether he realises himself or mars his foul.

usind that while Karma implies a moral can be easily established, if we bear in from, and even opposed to, each other, Potalism; but that the two are distinct mord eldadeinuniteibni ad or raeqquillim ndividual on earth. At first sight Karma determines the course of the life of the -enduct-the sum-total of our actions-Karma. For all think that Karma or do enirteed end ynibrager lla medt ynoma vaitism. But there is a perfect unanimity may accept the doctrines of pure adthe cult of qualified non-dualism, or he Karma ? The Vedantist may advocate to an all the second of the se

•		

.(医陈) ahsalom niestse of sudt release from the burden of rebirth and teg of obtained by us to enable us ai elil aidt rol ; eesuenoestigir lo elil The only way to effect it is by living a this total destruction to be effected? setters of birth and death. But how is desires to liberate himself from the ought to be the sim of every man who his present life or to the life to come, ot to elil tasq sid of sonereler and ti is संचित, or प्राएस or आगापि, that is, whether plete destruction of his Karma, whether is

The ideal which Vedantism holds our to us may appear to be too high for our realisation; but what ideal is not so? Will it, therefore, deter us, if only we know ourselves, from pursuing it? It is should we not, highly placed as that in the scale of creation, sim at making ourselves perfect? It is undenimable that our present state is not fitted

OPINIONS ON "A LECTURE ON THE

Philosophy. Mr. Bhattacharya shirks no difficulty as far as the Philosophy is concerned and meets all criticisms fai rly and at the same time easily.

In brief Mr. Bhattacharya's Lecture is very pleasant reading, and he sets forth: the philosophical outline of the Vedanta clearly and understandingly. Taking it all round, the little book is the best of its-kind that has yet appeared.

G. E. S. M.

India, September 1895.

Professor Bhattacharya delivered thialecture to the Agra College Literary Society, and many students of Indian wisdom will be glad that he has now offered
it to the public. He professes to deal
with the philosophical phase of the Vedanta system exclusively, treating it with
marked ability and with a sympathetic
fidelity etc.

tion, and it may be earnestly recommend-ed for perusal by those who wish to obtain in the course of a single treatise a ciear resume of the abstruse themes with which Vedantism deals.

А. В. Ратвіка, July 15, 1895.

antic Sutras eschewing the religious porphilosophic portion of some of the Vedhas dealt in his lecture only with the western philosophy. The learned lecturer when tested by the modern ideas of the sophy, and to examine briefly its value abstruse system of the Vedanta Philobeginners a general outline of the highly himself says in the preface, is to give the main object of the lecture, as the lecturer Sanskrit Professor, Agra College. The by Babu M. L. Bhattacharya, M. A., tore the Agra College Literary Society on the Vedanta Philosophy was read be-THE VEDANTA PHILOSOPHY.—A lecture

value of the discourse, as for centuries value of the discourse, as for centuries the followers of Sankaracharya and Rasternija are fighting over the religious aspect of the meaning of the Sutras. We hope that every lover of Hindu Philomaphy will read this interesting lecture apply will read this include and easy style has explained the dry subject of the Vedanta explained the dry subject of the Oedanta explained the ordinary readers.

Hixpu, 14th August 1895.

A LECTURE ON THE VEDANTA PHILOcourt :—We have received a copy of a lecture on the Vedanta Philosophy read believe the Agra College Literary Society by Balu M. L. Bhattacharya, M. A., Proter of Suchrit in that College. The Society Math, w. A., presided. The lecturer, We had Math, w. A., presided. The lecturer, We had a social reformer Mr. Lalla

his object which is only to explain the Tedants. Thilosophical phase of the Vedants.

system".

Mr. Bhattacharya's lecture is well worth the perusal of all who desire to know what the Philosophy of the Ved-rasis.

BHOWANIPORE, 19th May 1895.

FROM SIR ASUTOSH MUKHERJI

M. A., D. L., F. R. A. S. KT., &C., &c., &c.

Judge, High Court, Calcutta.

Accept my best thanks for your interesting lecture which would do credit to any graduate of the University.

HRON THE HOXOURABLE SIR GURUDAS

BANERII, N., A., D. L., KT.

Judge, High Court, Calcutta.

I thank you for your kind present of a copy of your Lecture on the Vedanta

The brain of the solid the solid send and the solid test of the solid so

TOTAL TOTAL

THE PARTY OF

REVARES, 21st April 1895.

AIAHAMOHOPADHYAYA.,

Маневн Сн. Матакалтил, с. г. в.

Late Principal, Government

Sanskrit College, Calcutta.

has given me much pleasure". dI .elqmie bas evoidsdastenus i deilyudi nake turther improvements still. Your learning, My blessing is that you will have made so much improvement in your and I am very happy to know that you "I have read your book here and there

dread of aught that savours of insincerity. ne is swayed by an almost scrupulous tigate what comes before him and that accustomed freely and fearlessly to invesness of his mind. It shows that he is sophy displays the full vigour and richlogy. His work on the Vedanta Philoed his spare time to the study of Theo-Babu Mutilal Bhattacharya has devot-

Principal. Albert College. (Sd.) D. B. Set, M. A.,

25th February 1905. 'ກາງກວງກຸງ